A Brief Update of Projects and Results from ^ D h [• ' } š Z Œ u o > š Z]•



Matt Hornbach

Southern Methodist University

Major Sponsors of the SMU Geothermal Lab 2012016:







Initial Results from Three Projects



Focusing Today on Three Projects

(1) Heat-Flow/K Measurements and Modeling in the Denver Basin

(2) Surface Warming in the N. Rockies

(3) Quantifying/Mitigating Induced Seismicity in Texas



The BasirScale HF Problem: Undersampling ÆSpatial Aliasing

~ & } µ Œ])Œ [•

‡ Although thermal basin models report meter-scale resolution, most models use only a few thermal parameters interpolated across 100000kms

ML G26 L $G\left(\frac{\times \hat{I}}{\times \hat{e}} = \frac{\times \hat{I}}{\times \hat{I}} = \frac{\times \hat{I}}{\times \hat{I}}\right)$

- ‡ Some (such as VR) are empirical and observer dependent, resulting in widespread systemic error.
- ‡ Severe spatial aliasing is a limiting factor often both overlooked and misunderstood when interpreting thermal maturation models.



Constraining Denver Basin Heat Flow (Casey Brokaw)

Approach:

(1) Measure K in samples obtained from the USGS and Anadarko.

Constraining Denver Basin Heat Flow (Casey Brokaw)

<u>RESULT</u>1# K values correlate with TOC and Maturity

Greater TOCÆlower K Greater GraphitÆHigher K

Why?

--Thermal conductivity of organic carbon, oil, and gas2sofders of magnitudeowerthan typical minerals found in sedimentary basins. Graphite K is orders of magnitude igherthan typical minerals.

--<u>A 2% chang</u>en gas/oil/TOC concentration results in <u>12% chang</u>en conductivity for Quartzich sediment. Our partners can use this result to estimate missed O&G targets and for determining mature/over

Constraining Denver Basin Heat Flo (Casey Brokaw)

Result #2: New, More Precise HF and K Maps Suggest focused High Tempezationes



--Results indicate >100 deg. C Temps in the NW. Corner of the Basin.

--High HF appears associated with the Colorado Mineral Belt and SalidaShear Zone.

--Model groundtruthing will occur this summer.

--&}OED}OEZ•µoš•U^ •Ç[•W}•šOEX



Initial Results from Three Projects

(1) Heat Flow Measurements and Modeling in the Denver Basin

(2) Surface Warming in the N. Rockies

(3) Quantifying/Mitigating Induced Seismicity in Texas



Northern US Rocky Mountain Winter Freeziene Retreat (Cliff Mauroner)



Hereit and the second sec

Northern US Rocky Mountain Winter Freezene Retreat (Both Measured and Projected by SMU Geothermal Lab)



Key Findings from SMU borehole climate study:

-- The N. US Rockies have warmed a ~0.4deg. F per decade , or 1.5 deg. F since 1974.

--the rate of warming has accelerated (we get a best model fit if we increase warming rate with time).

--The warming rate appears higher at higher elevations.

Northern US Rocky Mountain Winter Freezene Retreat





Initial Results from Three Projects

(1) Heat Flow Measurements and Modeling in the Denver Basin

(2) Surface Warming in the N. Rockies

(3) Quantifying/Mitigating Induced Seismicity in Texas



North Texas: An Ideal Testing Ground for Understanding/Mitigating Induced Seismicity associated with Geothermal systems

Why?

--Several large (>100,000 bbls/month) Wastewater injector sites exist

--Multiple Geological Geophysical datasets exist to constrain study.

--Plenty of induced seismicity

--SMU operates the only high resolution seismic networks in the area and maintains an extensive geothermal dataset in the region.



North Texas: An Ideal Testing Ground for Understanding/Mitigating Induced Seismicity associated with Geothermal systems

Why?

--Several large (>100,000 bbls/month) Wastewater injector sites exist

 Multiple Geological Geophysical datasets exist to constrain study.

--Plenty of induced seismicity

--SMU operates the only high resolution seismic networks in the area and maintains an extensive geothermal dataset in the region.



North Texas: An Ideal Testing Ground for Understanding/Mitigating Induced Seismicity associated with Geothermal systems

Key Preliminary Conclusions

--Areas of Highest Seismicity correlate to areas of highest injection volume.

--Basinwide pressures have likely elevated by ~13 psi, but areas of induced seismicity show pressure increases of 50 600 psi.

